

Project Name: Vulnerability and Habitat Suitability
 Analysis for AIS in Lake Cheilan

Staff Name: Jesse Schultz

Date:

Firm Name	Criteria 1: Expertise of Firm (15pts Max)	Criteria 2: Key Personnel Qualifications (15pts Max)	Criteria 3: Firm's Familiarity w/Relevant Codes and Standards (10pts Max)	Criteria 4: Past Performances (10pts Max)	Totals	Comments
Creative Resource Strategies	14	14	9	9	46	Great to see proposal looking at other AIS pathways not only recreational boaters.
Environmental Science Associates (ESA)	14	14	9	8	45	Red flag for Tasks 6 and 7 TBD for budget.
Herrera	13	13	8	8	42	Only focuses on recreational boaters and "bucket biologist" for AIS pathways, there are many more that need to be assessed. Budget is too much.
RNT Consulting Inc.	14	13	8	9	44	Great to see proposal looking at other AIS pathways not only recreational boaters.

Project Name: Vulnerability and Habitat Suitability
 Analysis for AIS in Lake Cheelan

Staff Name: Hillary Heard

Date:

Firm Name	Criteria 1: Expertise of Firm (15pts Max)	Criteria 2: Key Personnel Qualifications (15pts Max)	Criteria 3: Firm's Familiarity w/Relevant Codes and Standards (10pts Max)	Criteria 4: Past Performances (10pts Max)	Totals	Comments
Creative Resource Strategies	15	14	9	9	47	The proposal is well organized and an important aspect is that other AIS pathways other than recreational boaters will be studied. Helpful to see that the consultant has worked on AIS threats on the Columbia Basin and has worked cooperatively with WDFW on this issue. The budget seems reasonable budget for the overall effort.
Environmental Science Associates (ESA)	15	15	9	10	49	The proposal has a good layout, was concise and easy to read and grasp their proposed approach. The team is well qualified with similar project experience in the Pacific Northwest. Would want to discuss more about Task 6 and 7 details and potential impacts to the budget. Task 6 seems like we would want these recommendations or at least a summary as part of this effort. Task 7 could be part of future project efforts.
Herrera	14	13	8	8	42	A well organized proposal with very qualified project team however their scientific experience doesn't seem to be very well aligned with this project. The budget is too high and the timeframe is too long. unclear what significant value is added.
RNT Consulting Inc.	14	10	8	10	43	The proposal was not as well organized as others but good to see that also propose to look at AIS pathways other than recreational boaters. The presentation of their project team was a bit confusing with regarding to their roles and responsibilities with completing the study but given their other project experience they seem well qualified. The budget and schedule did seem reasonable.